1	HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 82
2	(By Delegates Gearheart and Ellington)
3	
4	
5	
6	Requesting the Legislature establish a Joint Legislative Committee
7	on Public Service Commission Accountability and Effectiveness
8	for the purpose of studying the accountability and
9	effectiveness of the Public Service Commission, and proposing
L 0	legislation consistent with the committee's findings.
L1	WHEREAS, Rising utility costs continue to consume a greater
L2	portion of the incomes of West Virginians and act as an impediment
L3	to economic development; and
L 4	WHEREAS, The Public Service Commission was created not only to
L 5	regulate and ensure the availability of public utilities, but also
L 6	to protect the interest of the using and consuming public; and
L 7	WHEREAS, The Legislature seeks to address concerns that
L8	sufficient accountability does not currently exist to ensure that
L 9	the Public Service Commission is adequately protecting those
20	interests; therefore, be it
21	Resolved by the Legislature of West Virginia:
22	That a Joint Legislative Study Committee on Public Service
23	Commission Accountability and Effectiveness be created for the
2.4	purposes of studying the issue of the accountability and

- 1 effectiveness of the Public Service Commission, and the feasibility
- 2 of creating legislation consistent with the committee's findings;
- 3 and proposing legislation to achieve this goal; and, be it
- 4 Further Resolved, That the Joint Legislative Committee on
- 5 Public Service Commission Accountability and Effectiveness examine
- 6 the following issues in relation to the Public Service Commission:
- 7 (1) The process of applying for and receiving rate
- 8 modifications, including the feasibility of authorizing the
- 9 commission to unilaterally decrease rates when an investigation
- 10 concludes that consumers are being overcharged;
- 11 (2) A description of all revisions to policies or practices,
- 12 or both, of the commission intended to ensure that utilities adopt
- 13 and utilize best management practices in their respective
- 14 operations;
- 15 (3) A description of any current practices or policies, or
- 16 both intended to minimize the amount of any possible over-recovery
- 17 from rate payers paying interim rates while a rate case is pending;
- 18 (4) An analysis of the method by which machinery, equipment,
- 19 fixtures and infrastructure is depreciated following the
- 20 acquisition by a subsequent owner, and whether such method is
- 21 consistent with the purposes for which depreciation is allowed;
- 22 (5) An analysis comparing the current utility rates in each of
- 23 the twenty-eight border counties with the comparable rates for the
- 24 non-West Virginia counties which share a common boundary with each;

- 1 (6) Whether an economic impact analysis should be required
- 2 with respect to applications for substantial rate increases; and
- 3 (7) Whether the consumer advocate's actions in principal and
- 4 effect have remained consistent with the original intent, and if
- 5 not, how to correct such problems; and, be it
- 6 Further Resolved, That the study committee shall consider such
- 7 other information or documentation as the committee may request in
- 8 order to achieve the goals of this resolution.